

# **New Hampshire Volunteer River Assessment Program 2005 Ashuelot River Water Quality Report**



*Photo: Ashuelot River (02-ASH), Route 63 Bridge, Hinsdale*

Prepared by:

State of New Hampshire  
Department of Environmental Services  
Water Division  
Watershed Management Bureau

January 2006



**New Hampshire Volunteer River Assessment Program  
2005 Ashuelot River Water Quality Report**

State Of New Hampshire  
Department of Environmental Services  
P.O. Box 95  
29 Hazen Drive  
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-0095

Michael P. Nolin  
Commissioner

Harry T. Stewart  
Director  
Water Division

Prepared By:  
Ted Walsh  
Jen Drociak  
Katie Zink

January 2006

Printed on Recycled Paper

## CONTENTS

<b>1.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1.	Purpose of Report .....	1
1.2.	Report Format .....	1
<b>2.</b>	<b>PROGRAM OVERVIEW.....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1.	Past, Present, and Future .....	3
2.2.	Technical Support .....	3
2.3.	Training and Guidance .....	4
2.4.	Data Usage .....	4
2.5.	Quality Assurance/Quality Control .....	5
<b>3.</b>	<b>METHODS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1.	Dissolved Oxygen .....	11
4.2.	pH .....	13
4.3.	Turbidity .....	15
4.4.	Specific Conductance .....	17
4.5.	Bacteria/ <i>Escherichia coli</i> .....	19
4.6.	Total Phosphorus .....	22

### List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1:	Ashuelot River Watershed and Monitoring Stations.....	8
Figure 2:	Dissolved Oxygen Statistics.....	10
Figure 3:	pH Statistics.....	12
Figure 4:	Turbidity Statistics.....	14
Figure 5:	Specific Conductance Statistics.....	16
Figure 6:	<i>Escherichia coli</i> Statistics.....	18
Figure 7:	Total Phosphorus Statistics.....	20
Table 1:	Field Analytical Quality Controls.....	6
Table 2:	Sampling Stations for the Ashuelot River, 2005.....	7
Table 3:	Sampling and Analysis Methods.....	8
Table 4:	Dissolved Oxygen Concentration Data Summary.....	9
Table 5:	pH Data Summary.....	11
Table 6:	Turbidity Data Summary.....	13
Table 7:	Specific Conductance Data Summary.....	15
Table 8:	<i>Escherichia coli</i> Data Summary.....	17
Table 9:	<i>Escherichia coli</i> Geometric Mean Data Summary.....	18
Table 10:	Total Phosphorus Data Summary.....	19

### List of Appendices

Appendix A:	2005 Ashuelot River Watershed Water Quality Data
Appendix B:	Interpreting VRAP Water Quality Parameters
Appendix C:	Glossary of River Ecology Terms

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) -Volunteer River Assessment Program (VRAP) extends sincere thanks to the volunteers of the Ashuelot River Local Advisory Committee for their efforts during 2005. This report was created solely from the data collected by the volunteers listed below. Their time and dedication is an expression of their genuine concern for local water resources and has significantly contributed to our knowledge of river and stream water quality in New Hampshire.

### **2005 Ashuelot River Volunteers**

Jim Beatty  
Ken Cole  
Pat Eggleston  
Penny Eggleston  
Geoff Gardner  
Carol Glabach  
Jim Holley  
Bob Lamoy  
Carolyn MacDonald  
Malcolm MacDonald  
Linda McCracken  
David Moon  
John Parsons  
Steve Poole  
Barbara Richter  
Barbara Skuly  
Jay Smeltz  
Steve Steppenuck  
Ann Sweet  
Roger Sweet  
Bob Thompson

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1. Purpose of Report**

Each year the VRAP prepares and distributes a water quality report for each volunteer group that is based solely on the water quality data collected by that volunteer group during a specific year. The reports summarize and interpret the data, particularly as they relate to New Hampshire surface water quality standards, and serve as a teaching tool and guidance document for future monitoring activities by the individual volunteer groups.

## **1.2. Report Format**

Each report includes the following:

### **❖ Volunteer River Assessment Program (VRAP) Overview**

This section includes a discussion of the history of VRAP, the technical support, training and guidance provided by NHDES, and how data is transmitted to the volunteers and used in surface water quality assessments.

### **❖ Monitoring Program Description**

This section provides a description of the volunteer group's monitoring program including monitoring objectives as well as a table and map showing sample station locations.

### **❖ Results and Discussion**

Water quality data collected during the year are summarized on a parameter-by-parameter basis using (1) a summary table that includes the number of samples collected, data ranges, the number of samples meeting New Hampshire water quality standards, and the number of samples adequate for water quality assessments at each station, (2) a discussion of the data, (3) a list of applicable recommendations, and (4) a river graph showing the range of measured values at each station. Sample results reported as less than the detection limit were assumed equal to one-half the detection limit on the river graphs. This approach simplifies the understanding of the parameter of interest, and specifically helps one to visualize how the river or watershed is functioning from upstream to downstream. In addition, this format allows the reader to better understand potential pollution areas and target those areas for additional sampling or environmental enhancements. Where applicable, the river graph also shows New Hampshire surface water quality standards or levels of concern for comparison purposes.

### ❖ **Appendix A – Data**

This appendix includes a spreadsheet showing the data results and additional information, such data results which do not meet New Hampshire surface water quality standards, and data that is unusable for assessment purposes due to quality control requirements.

### ❖ **Appendix B – Interpreting VRAP Water Quality Parameters**

This appendix includes a brief description of water quality parameters typically sampled by VRAP volunteers and their importance, as well as applicable state water quality criteria or levels of concern.

### ❖ **Appendix C – Glossary of River Ecology Terms**

This appendix contains a list of terms commonly used when discussing river ecology and water quality.

## **2. PROGRAM OVERVIEW**

### **2.1. Past, Present, and Future**

In 1998, the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) initiated the New Hampshire Volunteer River Assessment Program (VRAP) as a means of expanding public education of water resources in New Hampshire. VRAP promotes awareness and education of the importance of maintaining water quality in rivers and streams. VRAP was created in the wake of the success of the existing New Hampshire Volunteer Lake Assessment Program (VLAP), which provides educational and stewardship opportunities pertaining to lakes and ponds to New Hampshire's residents.

Today, VRAP continues to serve the public by providing water quality monitoring equipment, technical support, and educational programs. In 2005, VRAP supported twenty-eight volunteer groups on numerous rivers and watersheds throughout the state. These volunteer groups conduct water quality monitoring on an ongoing basis. The work of the VRAP volunteers increases the amount of river water quality information available to local, state and federal governments, which allows for effective financial resource allocation and watershed planning.

### **2.2. Technical Support**

VRAP lends and maintains water quality monitoring kits for volunteer groups throughout the state. The kits contain electronic meters and supplies for "in-the-field" measurements of water temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance (conductivity), and turbidity. These are the core parameters typically measured by volunteers. However, other water quality parameters such as nutrients, metals, and *E. coli* can also be studied by volunteer groups, although VRAP does not always provide funds to cover laboratory analysis costs. Thus, VRAP encourages volunteer groups to pursue other fundraising activities such as association membership fees, special events, in-kind services (non-monetary contributions from individuals and organizations), and grant writing.

VRAP typically recommends sampling every other week during the summer, and volunteer groups are encouraged to organize a long-term sampling program in order to begin to determine trends in river conditions. Each year volunteers design and arrange a sampling schedule in cooperation with NHDES staff. Project designs are created through a review and discussion of existing water quality information, such as known and perceived problem areas or locations of exceptional water quality. The interests, priorities, and resources of the partnership determine monitoring locations, parameters, and frequency.

Water quality measurements repeated over time create a picture of the fluctuating conditions in rivers and streams and help to determine where improvements, restoration or preservation may benefit the river and the communities it supports. Water quality results are also used to determine if a

river is meeting surface water quality standards. Volunteer monitoring results, meeting DES Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) requirements, supplement the efforts of DES to assess the condition of New Hampshire surface waters. The New Hampshire Surface Water Quality Regulations are available through the DES Public Information Center at [www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/Env-Ws1700.pdf](http://www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/Env-Ws1700.pdf) or (603) 271-1975.

### **2.3. Training and Guidance**

Each VRAP volunteer attends an annual training session to receive a demonstration of monitoring protocols and sampling techniques. Training sessions are an opportunity for volunteers to receive an updated version of monitoring techniques. During the training, volunteers have an opportunity for hand-on use of the VRAP equipment and may also receive instruction in the collection of samples for laboratory analysis. Training is accomplished in approximately two hours, after which volunteers are certified in the care, calibration, and use of the VRAP equipment. In some cases, veteran group coordinators can attend a “train the trainer” session. In these trainings the group coordinator receives an update in sampling protocols and techniques and will then train the individual volunteers of their respective group.

VRAP groups conduct sampling according to a prearranged monitoring schedule and VRAP protocols. NHDES staff from the VRAP program aim to visit each group annually during a scheduled sampling events to verify that volunteers successfully follow the VRAP protocols. If necessary, volunteers are re-trained during the visit, and the group’s monitoring coordinator is notified of the result of the verification visit. VRAP groups forward water quality results to NHDES for incorporation into an annual report and state water quality assessment activities.

### **2.4. Data Usage**

#### **2.4.1. Annual Water Quality Reports**

All data collected by volunteers are summarized in water quality reports that are prepared and distributed after the conclusion of the sampling period (typically fall or winter). Each volunteer group receives copies of the report. The volunteers can use the reports and data as a means of understanding the details of water quality, guiding future sampling efforts, or determining restoration activities.

#### **2.4.2. New Hampshire Surface Water Quality Assessments**

Along with data collected from other water quality programs, specifically the State Ambient River Monitoring Program, applicable volunteer data are used to support periodic DES surface water quality assessments. VRAP data are entered into NHDES’s Environmental Monitoring Database and are ultimately uploaded to the Environmental Protection Agency’s database, STORET.



Assessment results and the methodology used to assess surface waters are published by DES every two years (i.e., Section 305(b) Water Quality Reports) as required by the federal Clean Water Act. The reader is encouraged to log on to the DES web page to review the assessment methodology and list of impaired waters <http://www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/swqa/>.

## 2.5. Quality Assurance/Quality Control

In order for VRAP data to be used in the assessment of New Hampshire's surface waters, the data must meet quality control guidelines as outlined in the VRAP Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). The VRAP QAPP was approved by NHDES and reviewed by EPA in the summer of 2003. The QAPP is reviewed annually and is officially updated and approved every five years. The VRAP Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) measures include a six-step approach to ensuring the accuracy of the equipment and consistency in sampling efforts.

- ❖ **Calibration:** Prior to each measurement, the pH and dissolved oxygen meters are calibrated. Conductivity and turbidity meters are calibrated and/or checked against a known standard before the first measurement and after the last one.
- ❖ **Replicate Analysis:** A second measurement by each meter is taken from the original sample at one of the stations during the sampling day. The replicate analysis should not be conducted at the same station over and over again, but should be conducted at different stations throughout the monitoring season.
- ❖ **6.0 pH Standard:** A reading of the pH 6.0 buffer is recorded at one of the stations during the sampling day. If the same sampling schedule is used throughout the monitoring season, the 6.0 pH standard check should be conducted at different stations.
- ❖ **Zero Oxygen Standard:** A reading of a zero oxygen solution is recorded at one of the stations during the sampling day. If the same sampling schedule is used throughout the monitoring season, the zero oxygen standard check should be conducted at different stations.
- ❖ **DI Turbidity Blank:** A reading of the DI blank is recorded at one of the stations during the sampling day. If the same sampling schedule is used throughout the monitoring season, the blank check should be conducted at different stations.
- ❖ **Post-Calibration:** At the conclusion of each sampling day, all meters are calibrated.

### 2.5.1. Measurement Performance Criteria

Precision is calculated for field and laboratory measurements through measurement replicates (instrumental variability) and is calculated for each sampling day. The use of VRAP data for assessment purposes is contingent on compliance with a parameter-specific relative percent difference (RPD) as derived from equation 1, below. Any data exceeding the limits of the individual measures are disqualified from surface water quality assessments. All data that exceeds the limits defined by the VRAP QAPP are acknowledged in the data tables with an explanation of why the data was unusable. Table 1 shows typical parameters studied under VRAP and the associated quality control procedures.

(Equation 1)

$$RPD = \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}} \times 100 \%$$

where  $x_1$  is the original sample and  $x_2$  is the replicate sample

**Table 1. Field Analytical Quality Controls**

Water Quality Parameter	QC Check	QC Acceptance Limit	Corrective Action	Person Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator
Temperature	Measurement replicate	± 0.2 °C	Repeat measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
Dissolved Oxygen	Measurement replicate	± 2% of saturation, or ± 0.2 mg/L	Recalibrate instrument, repeat measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
	Known buffer (zero O <sub>2</sub> solution)	<0.5 mg/L	Recalibrate instrument, repeat measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Relative accuracy
pH	Measurement replicate	± 0.1 std units	Recalibrate instrument, repeat measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
	Known buffer (pH = 6.0)	± 0.1 standard units	Recalibrate instrument repeat measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Accuracy
Specific Conductance	Measurement replicate	± 30 µS/cm	Recalibrate instrument, repeat measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
	Method blank (Zero air reading)	± 5.0 µS/cm	Recalibrate instrument, repeat measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Accuracy
Turbidity	Measurement replicate	± 0.1 NTU	Recalibrate instrument, repeat measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
	Method blank (DI Water)	± 0.1 NTU	Recalibrate instrument, repeat measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Accuracy

### 3. METHODS

Volunteers from the Ashuelot River Local Advisory Committee have been monitoring water quality on the Ashuelot River since 2001. The goal of this effort was to provide water quality data from the Ashuelot River relative to surface water quality standards and to allow for the assessment of the river for support of aquatic life and primary contact recreation. The establishment of a long-term monitoring program allows for an understanding of the river's dynamics, or variations on a station-by-station and year-to-year basis. The data can also serve as a baseline from which to determine any water pollution problems in the river and/or watershed. The Volunteer River Assessment Program has provided field training, equipment, financial assistance, and technical assistance.

During 2005, trained volunteers from the Ashuelot River Local Advisory Committee monitored water quality at ten sites along the mainstem of the Ashuelot River from its upper limits in Washington to just upstream of its confluence with the Connecticut River in Hinsdale (Figure 1, Table 2). Stations ID's are designated using a three letter code to identify the waterbody name plus a number indicating the relative position of the station. The higher the station number the more upstream the station is in the watershed. All stations monitored in 2005 are designated as Class B waters.


Water quality monitoring was conducted monthly from May to September. In-situ measurements of water temperature, air temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and specific conductance were taken using handheld meters provided by NHDES. Turbidity samples were collected in the field, brought to a central location and measured the same day using a handheld meter. Samples for *E.coli* and total phosphorous were taken using sterile and/or preserved bottles and were stored on ice during transport from the field to the lab. Table 3 summarizes the parameters measured, laboratory standard methods, and equipment used.

**Table 2. Sampling Stations for the Ashuelot River, NHDES VRAP, 2005**

<b>Station ID</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Town</b>	<b>Elevation*</b>
<b>28-ASH</b>	Route 31	Washington	1600
<b>27-ASH</b>	Mountain Road	Lempster	1500
<b>24A-ASH</b>	Route 10	Marlow	1100
<b>23-ASH</b>	Route 10	Gilsum	800
<b>20A-ASH</b>	Stone Arch Bridge	Keene	500
<b>18-ASH</b>	Route 101	Keene	500
<b>16-ASH</b>	Cresson Bridge	Swanzey	500
<b>15-ASH</b>	Thompson Bridge	West Swanzey	400
<b>07-ASH</b>	Route 119	Winchester	400
<b>01-ASH</b>	147 River Street	Hinsdale	200

\*Elevations have been rounded off to 100-foot increments for calibration of dissolved oxygen meter

**Figure 1. Ashuelot River Watershed and Monitoring Stations 2005**



**Table 3. Sampling and Analysis Methods**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Sample Type</b>	<b>Standard Method</b>	<b>Equipment Used</b>	<b>Laboratory</b>
Temperature	In-Situ	SM 2550	YSI 95	-----
Dissolved Oxygen	In-Situ	SM 4500 O G	YSI 95	-----
pH	In-Situ	SM 4500 H+	Orion 210A+	-----
Turbidity	Bottle (Same Day)	EPA 180.1	YSI 30	-----
Specific Conductance	In-Situ	SM 2510	Lamotte 2020	-----
E.coli	Bottle (Sterile)	SM 19 9213 D.3	-----	NHDES
	Bottle (Sterile)	EPA 1103.1	-----	Keene WWTF
Total Phosphorous	Bottle (w/Preservative)	EPA 365.3	-----	NHDES

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Dissolved Oxygen

Five measurements were taken in the field for dissolved oxygen concentration at ten stations on the mainstem of the Ashuelot River from Washington to Hinsdale. (Table 4). Of the 50 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2006 surface water quality report to the Environmental Protection Agency.


The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standard for dissolved oxygen includes a minimum concentration of 5.0 mg/L **and** a minimum daily average of 75 % of saturation. In other words, there are criteria for both concentration and saturation that must be met before the river can be assessed as meeting dissolved oxygen standards. Table 4 reports only dissolved oxygen concentration as more detailed analysis is required to determine if instantaneous dissolved oxygen saturation measurements are above or below water quality standards.

**Table 4. Dissolved Oxygen Concentration Data Summary -Ashuelot River, 2005**

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (mg/l)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	81.2 - 87.2	0	5
27-ASH	5	79.2 - 89.9	0	5
24A-ASH	5	83.3 - 92.6	0	5
23-ASH	5	80.3 - 99.2	0	5
20A-ASH	5	74.4 - 86.9	0	5
18-ASH	5	74.2 - 88.1	0	5
16-ASH	5	73.0 - 85.8	0	5
15-ASH	5	67.1 - 87.1	0	5
07-ASH	5	78.2 - 89.3	0	5
01-ASH	5	90.1 - 96.4	0	5
<b>Total Number of Useable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment</b>				<b>50</b>

Dissolved oxygen concentration levels were above state standards on all occasions and at all stations (Figure 2). The average concentration of dissolved oxygen was consistently above the Class B standard at all stations ranging from 7.1 mg/L to 8.7 mg/L. Levels of dissolved oxygen sustained above the standards are considered adequate for the support of aquatic life and other desirable water quality conditions.

**Figure 2. Dissolved Oxygen Statistics for the Ashuelot River, New Hampshire,  
July 23, 2005 - September 17, 2005, NHDES VRAP**



## Recommendations

- ❖ Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.
- ❖ If possible, take measurements between 5:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m., which is when dissolved oxygen is usually the lowest, and between 2:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m. when dissolved oxygen is usually the highest. In general, dissolved oxygen levels are lowest in the early morning when there is low photosynthetic activity and a peak in respiration from organisms throughout the water column. This is the time of least oxygen production and greatest carbon dioxide emission. Peak dissolved oxygen levels occur when photosynthetic activity is at its peak. The greater the amount of photosynthetic activity the greater the production of oxygen as a byproduct of photosynthesis.
- ❖ Next year incorporate the use of in-situ dataloggers to automatically record dissolved oxygen saturation levels during a period of several days. This will allow for the calculation of the daily average for dissolved oxygen per cent saturation. Dataloggers can be put in the water for a period of several days and collect data at specific time intervals (e.g. every 15 minutes). The use of these instruments is dependent upon availability, and requires coordination with NHDES.



## 4.2. pH

Five measurements were taken in the field for pH at ten stations on the mainstem of the Ashuelot River from Washington to Hinsdale [Table 5]. Of the 50 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2006 surface water quality report to the Environmental Protection Agency. The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standard is 6.5 - 8.0, unless naturally occurring.


**Table 5. pH Data Summary - Ashuelot River, 2005**

<b>Station ID</b>	<b>Samples Collected</b>	<b>Data Range (standard units)</b>	<b>Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards</b>	<b>Number of Usable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment</b>
<b>28-ASH</b>	5	5.59 - 5.75	5	5
<b>27-ASH</b>	5	5.3 - 6.0	5	5
<b>24A-ASH</b>	5	5.56 - 5.95	5	5
<b>23-ASH</b>	5	5.81 - 6.33	5	5
<b>20A-ASH</b>	5	6.25 - 6.41	5	5
<b>18-ASH</b>	5	6.25 - 6.47	5	5
<b>16-ASH</b>	5	6.12 - 6.56	4	5
<b>15-ASH</b>	5	5.91 - 6.45	5	5
<b>07-ASH</b>	5	6.15 - 6.59	4	5
<b>01-ASH</b>	5	6.26 - 6.95	2	5
<b>Total Number of Useable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment</b>				<b>50</b>

All stations, with the exception of 01-ASH, had a majority of the pH measurements below the New Hampshire surface water quality standard (Figure 3).

Lower pH measurements are likely the result of natural conditions such as the soils, geology, or the presence of wetlands in the area. Rain and snow falling in New Hampshire is relatively acidic, which can also affect pH levels; after the spring melt or significant rain events, surface waters will generally have a lower pH.

**Figure 3. pH Statistics for the Ashuelot River, New Hampshire,  
July 23 - September 17, 2005, NHDES VRAP**



## Recommendations

- ❖ Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.
- ❖ Consider sampling for pH in some of the tributaries and wetland areas that are influencing the pH of stations with measurements below state standards. Site conditions are considered along with pH measurements because of the narrative portion of the pH standard. RSA 485-A:8 states that pH of Class B waters *shall be between 6.5 and 8.0, except when due to natural causes*. Wetlands can lower the pH of a river naturally by releasing tannic and humic acids from decaying plant material. If the sampling location is influenced by wetlands or other natural conditions, then the low pH measurements are not considered a violation of water quality standards. It is important to note that the New Hampshire water quality standard for pH is fairly conservative, thus pH levels slightly below the standard are not necessarily harmful to aquatic life. In this case, additional information about factors influencing pH levels is needed.

### 4.3. Turbidity


Five measurements were taken in the field for turbidity at ten stations on the mainstem of the Ashuelot River from Washington to Hinsdale [Table 6]. Of the 50 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2006 surface water quality report to the Environmental Protection Agency. The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standard for turbidity is less than 10 NTU above background.

**Table 6. Turbidity Data Summary - Ashuelot River, 2005**

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (NTU)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	0.0 - 0.2	0	5
27-ASH	5	0.0 - 1.7	0	5
24A-ASH	5	0.3 - 1.5	0	5
23-ASH	5	0.1 - 1.0	0	5
20A-ASH	5	0.4 - 2.7	0	5
18-ASH	5	0.6 - 2.0	0	5
16-ASH	5	0.9 - 2.6	0	5
15-ASH	5	1.0 - 3.5	0	5
07-ASH	5	0.7 - 2.7	0	5
01-ASH	5	0.6 - 2.4	0	5
<b>Total Number of Useable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment</b>				<b>50</b>

Turbidity levels were low on all occasions and at all stations with the average ranging from 0.1 NTU to 2.0 NTU (Figure 4). Although clean waters are associated with low turbidity there is a high degree of natural variability involved. Precipitation often contributes to increased turbidity by flushing sediment, organic matter and other materials from the surrounding landscape into surface waters. However, human activities such as removal of vegetation near surface waters and disruption of nearby soils can lead to dramatic increases in turbidity levels. In general it is typical to see a rise in turbidity in more developed areas due to increased runoff.

**Figure 4. Turbidity Statistics for the Ashuelot River, New Hampshire,  
July 23, 2005 - September 17, 2005, NHDES VRAP**



## Recommendations

- ❖ Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.
- ❖ Collect samples during wet weather. This will help us to understand how the river responds to runoff and sedimentation.
- ❖ If a higher than normal turbidity measurement occurs, volunteers can investigate further by moving upstream and taking additional measurements. This will facilitate isolating the location of the cause of the elevated turbidity levels. In addition, take good field notes and photographs. If human activity is suspected or verified as the source of elevated turbidity levels volunteers should contact NHDES.

#### 4.4. Specific Conductance


Five measurements were taken in the field for specific conductance at ten stations on the mainstem of the Ashuelot River from Washington to Hinsdale [Table 7]. Of the 50 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2006 surface water quality report to the Environmental Protection Agency. New Hampshire surface water quality standards do not contain numeric limits for specific conductance.

**Table 7. Specific Conductance Data Summary - Ashuelot River, 2005**

<b>Station ID</b>	<b>Samples Collected</b>	<b>Data Range (<math>\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}</math>)</b>	<b>Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards</b>	<b>Number of Usable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment</b>
<b>28-ASH</b>	5	24.1 - 28.0	Not Applicable	5
<b>27-ASH</b>	5	30.2 - 91.3	N/A	5
<b>24A-ASH</b>	5	30.8 - 47.3	N/A	5
<b>23-ASH</b>	5	34.1 - 59.7	N/A	5
<b>20A-ASH</b>	5	47.2 - 67.3	N/A	5
<b>18-ASH</b>	5	46.8 - 120.6	N/A	5
<b>16-ASH</b>	5	75.7 - 175.2	N/A	5
<b>15-ASH</b>	5	78.7 - 192.9	N/A	5
<b>07-ASH</b>	5	86.7 - 154.7	N/A	5
<b>01-ASH</b>	5	87.4 - 153.6	N/A	5
<b>Total Number of Useable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment</b>				<b>50</b>

Specific conductance levels were variable river with individual readings ranging from 25  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  to 123  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  (Figure 5). Higher specific conductance levels can be indicative of pollution from sources such as urban/agricultural runoff, road salt, failed septic systems, or groundwater pollution. Thus, the variable specific conductance levels in the Ashuelot River watershed indicate low pollutant levels at some stations and potentially higher levels at others.

**Figure 5. Specific Conductance Statistics for the Ashuelot River, New Hampshire,  
July 23 - September 17, 2005, NHDES VRAP**



## Recommendations

- ❖ Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.
- ❖ Consider collecting chloride samples at the same time specific conductance is measured. During the late winter/early spring snowmelt, higher conductivity levels are often seen due to elevated concentrations of chloride in the runoff. Conductivity levels are very closely correlated to chloride levels. Simultaneously measuring chloride and conductivity will allow for a better understanding of their relationship.

## 4.5. Bacteria/*Escherichia coli*

Five measurements were taken in the field for *E. coli* at ten stations on the mainstem of the Ashuelot River from Washington to Hinsdale [Table 8]. Of the 50 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2006 surface water quality report to the Environmental Protection Agency.

Class B NH surface water quality standards for *E.coli* are as follows:

- <406 cts/100 ml, based on any single sample, or
- <126 cts/100 ml, based on a geometric mean calculated from three samples collected within a 60-day period.


**Table 8. *E. coli* Data Summary – Ashuelot River, 2005**

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (cts/100ml)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	4 - 30	0	5
27-ASH	5	14 - 44	0	5
24A-ASH	5	14 - 54	0	5
23-ASH	5	10 - 83	0	5
20A-ASH	5	4 - 63	0	5
18-ASH	5	34 - 164	0	5
16-ASH	5	24 - >2000	1	5
15-ASH	5	14 - 150	0	5
07-ASH	5	32 - 203	0	5
01-ASH	5	27 - 900	1	5
<b>Total Number of Useable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment</b>				<b>50</b>

Two stations (16-ASH and 01-ASH) had single sample levels which exceeded the New Hampshire surface water quality standard (Figure 6). All other stations had no occasions where *E.coli* levels were above the standard. In order to fully determine whether a waterbody is meeting surface water standards for *E.coli* a geometric mean must be calculated. A geometric mean is calculated using three samples collected within a 60-day period. At all ten stations a geometric mean was calculated and two of the stations (18-ASH and 16-ASH) had geometric means that violated the surface water quality standard of 126 cts/100ml (Table 9).

Several factors can contribute to elevated *E. coli* levels, including, but not limited to rain storms, low river flows, the presence of wildlife (e.g., birds), and the presence of septic systems along the river.

**Figure 6. *Escherichia coli* Statistics for the Ashuelot River, New Hampshire, July 23 - September 17, 2005, NHDES VRAP**



**Table 9. *E. coli* Geometric Mean Data Summary - Ashuelot River, 2005**

Station ID	Geometric Mean (7/23/05 – 9/17/05)	Geometric Means Not Meeting NH Class B Standards
<b>28-ASH</b>	12	0
<b>27-ASH</b>	23	0
<b>24A-ASH</b>	23	0
<b>23-ASH</b>	45	0
<b>20A-ASH</b>	33	0
<b>18-ASH</b>	134	1
<b>16-ASH</b>	138	1
<b>15-ASH</b>	65	0
<b>07-ASH</b>	116	0
<b>01-ASH</b>	102	0



## **Recommendations**

- ❖ Continue collecting three samples within any 60-day period during the summer to allow for determination of geometric means.
- ❖ Continue to document river conditions and station characteristics (including the presence of wildlife in the area during sampling).
- ❖ At stations with particularly high bacteria levels volunteers can investigate further by moving upstream and taking additional measurements. This will facilitate isolating the location of the cause of the elevated bacteria levels. Those sampling should also look for any potential sources of bacteria such as emission pipes and failed septic systems.

## 4.6. Total Phosphorus

Five measurements were taken in the field for total phosphorus at ten stations on the mainstem of the Ashuelot River from Washington to Hinsdale [Table 9]. Of the 50 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2006 surface water quality report to the Environmental Protection Agency.

There is no numeric standard for total phosphorus for Class B waters. The narrative standard states that "unless naturally occurring, shall contain no phosphorus in such concentrations that would impair any existing or designated uses." The NHDES "level of concern" for total phosphorous is 0.05 mg/L.


**Table 9. Total Phosphorus Data Summary – Ashuelot River, 2005**

<b>Station ID</b>	<b>Samples Collected</b>	<b>Data Range (mg/L)</b>	<b>Acceptable Samples Exceeding NHDES Level of Concern</b>	<b>Number of Usable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment</b>
<b>28-ASH</b>	5	0.011 - 0.026	0	5
<b>27-ASH</b>	5	0.009 - 0.019	0	5
<b>24A-ASH</b>	5	0.009 - 0.016	0	5
<b>23-ASH</b>	5	0.009 - 0.018	0	5
<b>20A-ASH</b>	5	0.009 - 0.022	0	5
<b>18-ASH</b>	5	0.012 - 0.021	0	5
<b>16-ASH</b>	5	0.033 - 0.102	2	5
<b>15-ASH</b>	5	0.035 - 0.15	3	5
<b>07-ASH</b>	5	0.04 - 0.066	1	5
<b>01-ASH</b>	5	0.029 - 0.057	2	5
<b>Total Number of Useable Samples for 2006 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment</b>				<b>50</b>

Total phosphorous levels were variable but, in general, levels increased from upstream stations to downstream stations. All measurements upstream of 16-ASH were below NHDES's level of concern. All stations from 16-ASH downstream to 01-ASH had total phosphorous measurements that exceeded NHDES's level of concern. Under undisturbed natural conditions phosphorous is at very low levels in aquatic ecosystems. Of the three nutrients critical for aquatic plant growth; potassium, nitrogen, and phosphorous, it is usually phosphorous that is the limiting factor to plant growth. When the supply of phosphorous is increased due to human activity, algae respond with significant growth.

A major source of excessive phosphorous concentrations in aquatic ecosystems can be wastewater treatment facilities, as sewage typically contains relatively high levels of phosphorus detergents. However, fertilizers used on lawns and agricultural areas can also contribute significant amounts of phosphorus.

**Figure 7. Total Phosphorous Statistics for the Ashuelot River, New Hampshire,  
July 23 - September 17, 2005, NHDES VRAP**



## Recommendations

- ❖ Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.

## **APPENDIX A**

### **2005 Ashuelot River Watershed Water Quality Data**

## **APPENDIX B**

### **Interpreting VRAP Water Quality Parameters**

## **APPENDIX C**

### **Glossary of River Ecology Terms**